

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/521,347	01/13/2005	Barbara Muller	HMNZ 2 00036	1856	
27885	7590 07/20/2006	EXAMINER			
	PE, FAGAN, MINNIC	DERAKSHAN	DERAKSHANI, PHILIPPE		
1100 SUPERIO	OR AVENUE, SEVENT D. OH 44114	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	3754			

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/521,347		MULLER ET AL.					
		Ī	Examiner		Art Unit				
			PHILIPPE S. DER.	AKSHANI	3754				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appe	ars on the cover s	heet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA sisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with the period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with the period for r	ILING DA' f 37 CFR 1.136 nication. utory period wil rill, by statute, c	TE OF THIS COM 6(a). In no event, however I apply and will expire SI cause the application to be	MMUNICATION or, may a reply be tim X (6) MONTHS from to secome ABANDONED	L ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status									
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 11 Jar	nuary 2006.						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
,	Since this application is in condition for	•—			secution as to the	e merits is			
٠,ــ	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims			·					
·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
_	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
′=	5)								
•	_								
-	8) Claim(s) state objected to.								
•	on Papers		,						
	•	Cyaminas							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 									
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	O-948)		iterview Summary (aper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pinto(s)/Mail Date 1/13/05.		5) 🔲 N		atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/521,347 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: Injectate is not a recognized English word. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 2 of claim 5 the parenthesis around "incomplete" render the claim indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2-4 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pearson et al US 4,974,756.

Pearson et al show an attachment 14 and locking clip 38.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pearson et al in view of Heusser et al 6,161,730.

Pearson lacks the attachment a mixer tip. Heusser et al show an attachment a mixer tip. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have substituted the Pearson et al attachment with a mixer tip as taught by Heusser et al as an alternative equivalent means for coupling a mixer tip to a cartridge.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Xie et al is cited to show another example of a clip attaching a mixer with a cartridge.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI whose telephone number is 571-272-4925. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour days.

Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-71000.

MILIPPE S DERAKSHANI Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

PD 7/18/06